

Modeling the broad emission line polarization in active galactic nuclei

Đorđe Savić^{1,2}, Luka Č. Popović¹, Lena Shablovinskaya³, Viktor Afanasiev³


¹Astronomical observatory of Belgrade

²Observatoire astronomique de Strasbourg

³Special astrophysical observatory, Russia

June 7, 2019



Unified model



- BLR is not obscured - type 1 objects, broad + narrow emission lines
- BLR is obscured - type 2 objects, only narrow emission lines


Observations in polarization

- A major break-through for the unified model for NGC 1068 (Antonucci & Miller 1985)
- A periscope view of AGN in polarized flux





The importance of polarization

- Insight to the innermost parts of the central engine
- Sensitive to geometry and kinematics (Marin et al. 2012,2015,2018)
- Time lag studies (Rojas et al. 2018)




The importance of polarization

- Supermassive binary black holes signature (Savic et al. 2018)
- Unique polarization angle profiles




Parallel and orthogonal polarization




Polarization in type 1s

- Polarization position angle (PA) rotation as evidence for equatorial scattering in type 1s
- Disk-like BLR with Keplerian motion
- Co-planar scattering region
- Weak polarization, typically few percents




Polarization in type 1s

Smith et al. 2005







Mrk 6

Afanasiev et al. 2014




Polarization of broad lines in type 1s



Method for determining SMBH masses

- Afanasiev & Popovic (2015).





$$V_i = V_i^{\text{rot}} \cos(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{GM_{\text{BH}}}{R_i}} \cos(\theta), \quad R_i = R_{\text{sc}} \tan(\varphi_i),$$

$$M_{\text{BH-kep}} = 10^{2a} \frac{c^2 R_{\text{sc}}}{G \cos^2(\theta)} = 1.78 \times 10^{2a+10} \frac{R_{\text{sc}}}{\cos^2(\theta)} M_{\odot},$$





$$\log \frac{V_i}{c} = a - 0.5 \log(\tan(\varphi_i))$$

$$a = 0.5 \log \frac{GM_{\text{BH}} \cos^2 \theta}{c^2 R_{\text{sc}}}$$




$$M_{\text{BH-kep}} = 1.53 \times 10^8 M_{\odot}$$

Method for determining SMBH masses



Method for determining SMBH masses

- Single epoch method.
- Good agreement with reverberation mapping method
- Single scattering approximation is well justified (Savic et al. 2018)
- BLR characteristics (Afanasiev et al. 2018)
- **Can be applied for lines in different spectral range**




Modeling (scattering-induced) polarization with STOKES

- Full 3D MonteCarlo radiative transfer.
- Various geometries for the emission/scattering regions.
- Polarization due to (multi) electron scattering and dust (Mie) scattering.
- Goosmann & Gaskell (2007); Marin et al. (2012, 2015); Rojas et al. (2018)


The screenshot shows the website for the STOKES program. At the top, there is a navigation menu with links for 'home', 'about', 'program', 'examples', 'inputfiles', 'outputfiles', 'user's codes', 'stokes module', and 'contact'. The main heading is 'STOKES Modeling Radiative Transfer and Polarization'. Below this, there is a logo for 'Observatoire Astronomique de Strasbourg' and the name 'René W. Goosmann'. The text describes the STOKES program as a Monte Carlo radiative transfer code for modeling multiwavelength polarization. It includes instructions on how to get an introduction, download compiled versions for Linux and Windows, and obtain example input files and pre-computed dust models. A graph shows polarization percentage versus wavelength (micrometers) from 0.005 to 1000. A 3D diagram illustrates the geometry of scattering, showing a source, a scattering region, and a detector, with vectors for polarization and scattering angles.

Modeling (scattering-induced) polarization with STOKES


- Point-like source of isotropic continuum radiation, $F_\nu \propto \nu^{-2}$.
- Half opening angle of the BLR and SR are 15° and 35° respectively.
- Inner radius of the BLR from reverberation (Peterson et al. 2004, Kaspi et al. 2005, Bentz et al. 2006).
- Outer radius of the BLR-a due to dust sublimation
 $R_{\text{out}}^{\text{BLR}} = 0.2 L_{\text{bol},46}^{0.5}$. Bolometric correction from Runnoe et al. (2012).
- Inner radius of the SR from dust reverberation (Kishimoto et al. 2011, Koshida et al. 2014).
- Simultaneous $\text{H}\alpha$, $\text{H}\beta$ and Mg II emission
- **Fountain-like emission of Mg II (Popovic et al. 2019)**




Modeling (scattering-induced) polarization with STOKES




Modeling (scattering-induced) polarization with STOKES




Modeling (scattering-induced) polarization with STOKES




SMBH mass estimates - $H\alpha$



SMBH mass estimates - $H\beta$






SMBH mass estimates - Mg II



Observations

- SDSS quasar SBS 1419+538 ($z = 1.862$)
- Spectropolarimetry with 6 m telescope of SAO RAS using modified version of the SCORPIO spectrograph (see Afanasiev & Moiseev 2005, 2011).
- Polarization parameters correction for the interstellar polarization Afanasiev & Amirkhanyan (2012)





- Simple model for radiative transfer
- Keplerian motion + outflows
- Error bars in observations are higher than those in the model
- Test the method for other broad lines C III] and C IV

Thank you for your attention